WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
UN	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		
	V. ORDER OF DETE	NTION PENDING TRIAL	
F6	Fermin Hernandez-Maldonado Case Number: <u>11-08190M-</u>	<u>001</u>	
	nce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on Aperesented by counsel. I conclude by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is ndant pending trial in this case.	ril 28, 2011. Defendant was presen s a flight risk and order the detention	
I find by a pre	FINDINGS OF FACT preponderance of the evidence that:		
	_	manent residence	
\boxtimes		The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.	
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
The C at the time of t	e Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agenc of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.	y which were reviewed by the Cour	
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
1.	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.		
2.	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearan	ce of the defendant as required.	
	DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION		
appeal. The do	e defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designate as facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentence defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with dead States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the control of the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a control of the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a control of the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a control of the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a control of the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a control of the United States Marshall for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a control of the United States Marshall for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a control of the United States Marshall for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a control of the United States Marshall for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a control of the United States Marshall for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a control of the United States Marshall for the purpose of the United States Marshall for the purpose of the United States Marshall for the purpose of the United States Marshall for the United Sta	es or being held in custody pending efense counsel. On order of a court corrections facility shall deliver the	
	APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE		
IT IS C deliver a copy of Court.	S ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District C py of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior t	ourt, it is counsel's responsibility to o the hearing set before the District	
Services suffic	S FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is cour afficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Service the potential third party custodian.	nsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial ses an opportunity to interview and	

DATE: <u>April 28, 2011</u>

JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge